2 PATRIARCHY AND SOVEREIGNTY IN EARLY MODERN EUROPE

HISTORY 1100.3 24-29 AUG. 2006

# 3 I I. "WHEN FATHERS RULED":

## THE EUROPEAN PATRIARCHAL FAMILY

- A. Private and public mixed together in the "household economy" of Early Modern Europe
  - Family homes doubled as workplaces, family determined occupations and political affiliations. · Political & social ideals overlapped: God was the father of all, the king was the father of his people, and each father was the king of his family.
- B. Functions of the early modern family unit primarily economic and political and social rather than emotional.
- + C. Christian religious teachings that bore on child-rearing and family life.
  - 1. The doctrine of original sin (Adam and Eve) and the innate depravity of human beings.
    - Isaac Watts's hymn, "Lord, I am Vile"
  - 2. English and German Protestants (largest groups of voluntary American colonists) held these ideas most strongly. Calvinist doctrine of "election" said most people were damned.
    - On the other hand, Protestants also led the way in reforming marriage and laying the basis for the modern institution of "companionate" marriage.

### 4 I. "WHEN FATHERS RULED":

### THE EUROPEAN PATRIARCHAL FAMILY

(CONT.)

- D. Growing Up & Bowing Down in Early Modern Europe
  - 1. What original sin taught: children & women were inherently evil, needed to be controlled.
  - 2. Requirement for submissive behavior of children toward parents: bowing, kneeling or standing, silence, no familiarity,
  - 3. Child-rearing: the goal was "breaking the will," preferably by force; emphasis on immobilization (swaddling of babies) & body-shaping (corsets for women).
  - . 4. Education operated by same principles: flogging & ferulas.
  - · 5. Parental control over career choice: primogeniture for eldest son and "settlement" for girls & younger sons.
  - 6. Parental control over, & economic basis of, marriage choice.
  - 7. Marriage: wife legally merged into husband through coverture.
  - Wives had nearly same behavior required as children, expected to obey and could be beaten if necessary.
  - 8. Above all, husbands and fathers were supposed to rule:
  - Body metaphor -- "as a body can have but one head ... So a household ... can have but one lord"

5 III. RULERS AS FATHERS OF THE PEOPLE: EUROPE'S AUTHORITARIAN POLITICS

- A. Colonization era (16th-17th centuries) as the end of a long struggle by monarchs to build "nation-states" that they & their officials, not local barons & bishops, really controlled.
  - · Example: Kingdom of Castile's creation of Spain.
- B. 17th Century (1600s) as golden age of "absolute monarchy."
  - 1. English kings during colonization were the absolutist Stuarts: James I (1603-25), Charles I (1625-49), Charles II (1660-85), James II (1685-88). 2. Stuarts believed in the Divine Right of Kings, based on the idea of the universe as a hierarchy, "The Great Chain of Being," with God and kings
  - on top. • 3. The cult of the absolute monarch & the "royal touch."

### 6 II. RULERS AS FATHERS OF THE PEOPLE: EUROPE'S AUTHORITARIAN POLITICS (CONT.)

- C. Rise of "sovereignty" as a political theory
  - 1. Characteristics of sovereignty: control of territory, supremacy, ability to use & control the use of force, independence of action, final authority.
  - 2. Justification: need for strong, absolute rulers in a time of war and turmoil.
    - 3. Hobbes' Leviathan: life without sovereignty would be "nasty, brutish, and short."
    - 4. The structure of patriarchal family translated into politics.
    - Just as in family life, submission was held to be natural and liberty to be unnatural. No one was "free," Robert Filmer's Patriarcha Religious authority was cited for this idea, even in America: John Cotton's Spiritual Milk for Boston Babes (part of The New England Primer).
- D. Patriarchy and sovereignty: the indivisible and perpetual nature of patriarchal/governmental authority.
- 1. The body metaphor in politics: Families/communities were bodies with fathers/kings as the head. Hobbes' illustration (click for la
  - 2. The indivisibility of sovereignty & the absurdity of "imperium in imperio"
- E. These ideas about the necessity of sovereignty in government applied whether Europeans supported monarchy or not.